UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,353	02/10/2006	Terje Moldestad	P17015-US1	2578
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 05/07/201 C.	0	EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY M/S EVR 1-C-			NG, FAN	
PLANO, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2471	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kara.coffman@ericsson.com jennifer.hardin@ericsson.com melissa.rhea@ericsson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/540,353	MOLDESTAD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fan Ng	2471	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the set of the set of the set of the set of the mail the set of the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a Individual will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Individual to the properties of the propertie	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2, 4,-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuehnel (2004/0202148) in view of Langille et al. (20020097730) and further in view of Li et al. (20040213205).

Regarding to claim 2, Kuehnel teaches stacking the data frame with at least one inner MPLS label uniquely addressing a PCM system within the second circuit switched node (fig. 1, #5 is an inner ip header, which go through MPLS network and uniquely address an destination) and at least one outer MPLS label (fig. 1, #7) identifying a fixed path of consecutive packet switched nodes within the packet switched network ([0008]: outer label is added to specify the fixed path, fig. 2, between #11, #17 is packet network), said outer label includes addresses of all the packet switched nodes included in the fixed path in addition to an address of the second circuit switched node ([0008]: "the label identifies the path throughout the network", which means all nodes in the path is identified. [0045]). And in the second circuit switched node,

removing the outer MPLS label (fig. 4B, #S11, outer label is removed) and transferring the time slots to the PCM system addressed by the inner label (inner label specify a unique destination). Kuehnel doesn't teach an inner MPLS, but Langille teaches inner MPLS (fig. 9-11, [50-52], note, fig. 9 MPLS VI 70-c2 should be the inner MPLS of current application). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Langille into Kuehnel, since Kuehnel teaches data transfer from MPLS network (data packet format) to IP or non-IP network, and has an inner IP address uniquely address the end system, but does not have inner MPLS, and Langille has an inner MPLS uniquely address the end system, such as multiple MPLS can tunneling with different paths, which give the packet more option to travel.

Kuehnel and Langille do not teach the time slot (data transmitted in time) translation between packet switch network and PCM system, but Li teaches use the communication between data packet format and PCM system (Fig. 3, [0003]).

Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Li into Kuehnel, Kuehnel teaches data transfer from MPLS network (data packet format) to IP or non-IP network, but does not disclose a PCM system as destination network and Li suggest that the data conversion between data packet formation and PCM system, such as it is obvious to place an PCM system at the destination, in case the voice data need to be transfer to PSTN rather than packet format.

Art Unit: 2471

Regarding to claim 4, Kuehnel, Langille and Li teach the method according to claim 2, comprising the step of: Kuehnel teaches in the first node, including the address of the first packet switched node of the fixed path as the outer label (fig. 1, #7 is the outer label, which must contain the address of first switched node, and shown in fig. 2, otherwise the packet do not know where to go), and, in each of the consecutive packet switched nodes, exchanging the content of the outer label with the address of the packet switched node following current packet switched node ([0008]: this is the general concept of label switching, and [0018]: each MPLS converter assign label accounting to the IP packet header fig. 1, #5).

Regarding to claim 5, Kuehnel, Langille and Li teach the method according to claim 2, Kuehnel teaches wherein that the first and the second circuit switched nodes are Label Edge Routers (LERs) (fig. 2, #11, 17, [0033] and [0026]) and the packet switched nodes are Label Switched Routers (LSRs) (fig. 2, #15, MPLS converter switch label thus it is a label switch router, [0018]).

Regarding to claim 6, Kuehnel, Langille and Li teach method according to claim 2, Kuehnel teaches wherein that the circuit switched connection is a 64 kbits ([0004]) connection and the number of time slots in the data frame is 32 or 24. However, it is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value. The burden of showing criticality is on

Art Unit: 2471

Appellant.) In re Mason, 87 F.2d 370, 32 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1937); Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co. v. U.S., 320 U.S. 1, 57 USPQ 471 (1943); In re Schneider, 148 F.2d 108, 65 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1945); In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955); In re Saether, 492 F.2d 849, 181 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1974); In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In reBoesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). using the above parameters or values since it is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value.

Regarding to claim 7, Kuehnel, Langille and Li teach the method according to claim 2, Kuehnel teaches wherein the first circuit switched node and the second circuit switched node are exchanges in a public telephone network ([0008]).

Regarding to claim 8, Kuehnel, Langille and Li teach the method according to claim 2, Kuehnel teaches wherein that the circuit switched connection is a real-time connection like a telephone call connection ([0004]).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 4-5, and filed on 04/22/2010, with respect to prior art rejection, have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2471

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fan Ng whose telephone number is (571) 270-3690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2471 /Chi H Pham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2471